

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 15568 261851Z

72

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-14 ACDA-19 ISO-00 AF-10 NEA-10 AEC-11 CU-04

OIC-04 NIC-01 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 PA-04

RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 H-03

NSC-10 SS-20 DRC-01 /178 W

----- 089013

R 261837Z OCT 73

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8340

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION BERLIN

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 15568

SHAPE FOR INTAF: VIENNA FOR MBFR DEL: GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, GW, PARM

SUBJECT: CSCE: CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES

REF: (A) GENEVA 5579, (B) GENEVA 5593

1. FONOFF OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE FOR CSCE/CBM'S UTILIZED  
OCT 25 MEETING WITH EMBOFF TO VOICE FRG DISPLEASURE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 15568 261851Z

WITH TOUGH AND RESTRICTIVE MENDELEVITCH STATEMENT ON

CBM'S (REF A). FONOFF REP VOICED PARTICULAR CONCERN REGARDING SOVIET INSISTENCE ON LIMITING CBM'S TO 5 TO 6 DAY PRIOR NOTIFICATION OF LARGE SCALE MANEUVERS IN FRONTIER AREAS. SPEAKING IN GENERAL TERMS, FONOFF REP SAID BONN DID NOT WISH TO LIMIT CBM'S TO ONLY VERY LARGE MANEUVERS, WAS OPPOSED TO FOCUS ON FRONTIER (I.E. DEMARCACTION LINE) AREAS AND CONSIDERED 5 TO 6 DAYS FAR TOO SHORT A PRE-NOTIFICATION PERIOD. AS U.S. NATO HAS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED, THE FRG PREFERENCES A 60 DAY PRE-NOTIFICATION PERIOD, BUT ACCORDING TO FRG REP, 30 DAYS COULD BE AN ACCEPTABLE COMPROMISE.

2. FONOFF REP SAID BONN WAS INSTRUCTING GERMAN CSCE DEL TO MAKE FORCEFUL REJOINDER TO MENDELEVITCH'S PRESENTATION AND HOPED FOR STRONG US SUPPORT. FONOFF REP RECOGNIZED THAT U.S. AND FRG POSITIONS DIFFERED ON CBM'S CONCERNING MOVEMENTS, BUT SAID BONN ATTACHED CONSIDERABLE IMPORTANCE TO THE TWO CBM'S CONCERNING MANEUVERS AND HOPED U.S. WOULD SUPPORT AGREED ALLIED POLICIES ON THESE QUESTIONS.

3. TO EMBOFF'S QUESTION, FONOFF REP SAID FRG WAS STRONGLY OPPOSED TO RECENT ROMANIAN AND YUGOSLAV PROPOSALS ON MILITARY ASPECTS OF SECURITY. HOWEVER, GERMANS FEAR THAT WESTERN FAILURE TO PRODUCE AT LEAST THE TWO EFFECTIVE CBM'S IN CSCE WOULD SIMPLY INTENSIFY PRESSURE AMONG NEUTRALS FOR EVEN STRONGER CSCE MILITARY/SECURITY CONTENT.

4. FRG VIEWS ON SUBSTANTIVE AND TIMING RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN CSCE/CBM'S AND MBFR PRE-REDUCTION STABILIZING MEASURES ARE BEING CONSIDERED (SEPTEL).

HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS, MILITARY EXERCISES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 26 OCT 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973BONN15568  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS HILLENBRAND  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731010/aaaaahfm.tel  
**Line Count:** 94  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) GENEVA 5579, (B) GENEVA 5593  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <02-Aug-2001 by boyleja>; APPROVED <21-Sep-2001 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CSCE: CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PARM, GE  
**To:** STATE DOD  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005